

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Ten principles for acting against child abuse: an essential tool to guide emergency department staff

Decálogo contra el maltrato infantil para profesionales: una herramienta indispensable en los servicios de urgencias

To the editor:

Childhood and teenage abuse are a blot on society, which instead of becoming eradicated seem to be spreading rapidly.¹ Broadcasted news related to child abuse are becoming more and more common, with cases of child murder and gang rape generating the greatest media impact. The number of notifications in this regard continues to increase in Spain; in 2020 it increased by 2.1% compared to 2019. The age group between 11 and 14 years is the most affected, with a discrete predominance of the male gender (around 54%).² It is likely that greater social awareness of abuse is partly responsi-

ble for this variation. However, the cases detected are only the tip of the iceberg of this huge problem. Many are under-diagnosed, especially those that occur within the family unit, which are also the most frequent.^{1,3,4} The complexity of detection and the extreme vulnerability of the persons affected make it essential for all health care teams to be sensitive and alert to possible abuse, including emergency teams.

In view of this situation, and with the aim of facilitating its suspicion, the Child Abuse Care of the Spanish Society of Pediatric Emergency Medicine Task Force (Spanish acronym GTAMI-SEUP) proposed the creation of the "Decalogue against Child Abuse for Professionals". The process of its elaboration comprised three phases. In the first phase, the members of the GTAMI-SEUP were asked via email to give three pieces of advice that they thought the Decalogue should contain. In the second phase, a selection of recommendations was made following a modified Delphi methodology.⁵ And

in the third phase, the final document was drafted and agreed upon.

Table 1 shows the result of the list of 10 recommendations that have been structured in three sections: 5 recommendations refer to the anamnesis, 4 to the physical examination and one to training. This document, endorsed by the SEUP, is available on the society's website for the knowledge of all its members. However, given that many children and adolescents are likely to be treated in centers without pediatric specialists, we believe it is very important to extend its dissemination to all levels of care, and that it is of particular interest to emergency professionals, as this is one of the places where these patients usually go.⁶

In the absence of the application of specific screening methods⁷ for maltreatment in all emergency departments, training, its consideration in the differential diagnosis or the ability of emergency teams to identify it is essential, and the decalogue is a structured and easy-to-apply tool for this purpose. The detection of

Table 1. Decalogue of child abuse

SEUP Maltreatment Task Force recommends CHILD MALTREATMENT DECALOGUE FOR PROFESSIONALS	
We must be alert to:	
1.	Suspicious medical history: the caregivers' explanations of the mechanism of injury are not consistent or do not fit with the type of injury detected.
2.	Risk factors: regardless of the reason for consultation, questions should be asked about: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Character changes: solitary games, sadness, irritability. • Alteration in the rhythm of sleep, feeding and sphincter control. • Family and social situation (separated parents, judicial conflicts, loss of purchasing power, social isolation, ...). • History of physical or intellectual disability or developmental disorders. • Frequency of visits to the emergency department: more than 8 visits in the last year, in children under 1 year, more than 3 visits per month.
3.	Repeated accidents: they consult for a priori unintentional injuries, but similar previous consultations are detected.
4.	What the child tells us in the consultation; let him/her speak freely and, if possible, in the absence of his/her caregivers.
5.	Non-specific indicators of child abuse (headaches, abdominal pain, dizziness...).
During the examination we should:	
6.	Detect inappropriate behaviors of the child or adolescent: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Refusal to take off clothes. • Showing no shame and undressing easily. • Exaggerated avoidance of physical contact. • Defiant attitude in preadolescents and adolescents.
7.	Look for findings and ask questions that may indicate neglect or abandonment: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Poor hygiene. • Poor verbal communication. • Truancy.
8.	Know how to recognize indicators or warning signs of sexual abuse. Special attention to suicide attempts in adolescents.
9.	Stop and ask questions about unexpected bruises or injuries. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • History: is it plausible that the injury occurred accidentally, and is there a lack of adequate supervision? • Location, size, and shape: is it consistent with the associated history? • Number: is the observed number expected in common accidents?
We are applicable:	
10.	Be updated: in addition to physical and sexual abuse, which are the "traditional" ones, and the most easily detectable in the emergency department, we must be aware of cyberbullying and think about how to deal with it. Cyberbullying and think about neglect, psychological abuse, and any other type of ADVERSE EXPERIENCES in childhood that affect the health and normal development of children or adolescents.

Available at: https://seup.org/pdf_public/Decalogo_profesionales.pdf

child and adolescent abuse is essential to allow early, appropriate intervention aimed at improving initial care, including the protection of the child.

Ana I. Curcoy Barcenilla¹⁻³,
Victoria Trenchs Sainz de la Maza¹⁻³,
Juan A. Cózar Olmo⁴,
Zelidety Espinel Padrón⁵
y Grupo de trabajo de atención al
maltrato infantil de la Sociedad Española
de Urgencias de Pediatría

¹*Influencia del entorno en el bienestar del niño y del adolescente, Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.*

²*Área de Urgencias, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu Barcelona, Esplugues de Llobregat, Barcelona, Spain.*

³*Universidad de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain.*

⁴*Unidad Pediatría Interniveles, Hospital San Agustín, Linares, Jaén, Spain.*

⁵*Urgencias Pediátricas, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario Insular Materno Infantil, Gran Canaria, Spain.*

anaisabel.curcoy@sjd.es

Conflict of Interests Disclosure: None reported.

Author Contributions, financing and ethical responsibilities: All authors have confirmed their authorship, the non-existence of external financing and the maintenance of confidentiality and respect for patient rights in the document of author responsibilities, publication agreement and assignment of rights to EMERGENCIAS.

Editor in Charge: Xavier Jiménez Fábrega.

Article not commissioned by the Editorial Committee and with external peer review.

Addendum

Members of the Working Group on Child Abuse Care of the Spanish Society of Pediatric Emergencies (SEUP in Spanish): Aida Bonvehí Solvevilla, Amaia Arbeloa Miranda, Ana Barrios, Ana Fábregas Martori, Ana I. Curcoy Barcenilla, Anna M.^a Navarro Rodríguez, Antonio Gancedo Baranda, Carme Pérez Velasco, Esther Tobarra Sánchez, Elena Daghoum Dorado, Elena Aguado Alba, Francesc Ferrés Serrat, Gemma Nadal Rey, Gerardo Suárez Otero, Glória Estopiñá Ferrer, Gloria López Lois, Isabel López Contreras, Juan Cozar Olmo, Julia Ruiz Jiménez, Karmele Díez Sáez, Leticia González Martín, Lorena Braviz Rodríguez, Lorena Isabel Alvaro Barrio, María Rimblas, Marisa Herreros Fernández, Noelia Cadenas, Nuria Cahis Vela, Rafael Marañón Pardiño, Raquel Garcés Gómez, Teresa Vallmanya Cucurull, Victoria Trenchs Sainz de la Maza, Virginia Gómez Barrena, Zaira Ibars Valverde, Zelidety Espinel Padrón.

References

1 del Moral Blasco C. Más me duele a mi. Save the Children España. 2018. (Consultado 5 Noviembre 2021). Disponible en: https://www.savethechildren.es/sites/default/files/imce/docs/mas_me_duele_a_mi.pdf

- 2 Observatorio de la Infancia. Dirección General de Derechos de la Infancia y de la Adolescencia. Secretaría de Estado de Servicios Sociales. Boletín de datos estadísticos de medidas de protección a la infancia. Boletín número 23. Datos 2020. Madrid: Ministerio de Derechos Sociales y Agenda 2030, Centro de Publicaciones Paseo del Prado; 2021. (Consultado 14 Diciembre 2021). Disponible en: https://observatorio-delainfancia.vpsocial.gob.es/estadisticas/estadisticas/PDF/Boletin_Proteccion_23_Provisional.pdf
- 3 Danaher F, Vandeven A, Blanchard A, Newton A W. Recognizing, diagnosing, and preventing child maltreatment: an update for pediatric clinicians. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2018;30:582-90.
- 4 Solís-García G, Marañón R, Medina Muñoz M, de Lucas Volle S, García-Morín M, Rivas García A. Maltrato infantil en Urgencias: epidemiología, manejo y seguimiento. *An Pediatr.* 2019;91:37-41.
- 5 Lindsay P, Schull M, Bronskill S, Anderson G. The development of indicators to measure the quality of clinical care in emergency departments following a modified-delphi approach. *Acad Emerg Med.* 2002;9:1131-9.
- 6 Curcoy AI, Trenchs V y Grupo de trabajo de atención al maltrato infantil de la Sociedad Española de Urgencias de Pediatría. Cribado de maltrato en urgencias, una asignatura pendiente. *An Pediatr.* 2020;93:337-8.
- 7 Trenchs Sainz de la Maza V, Curcoy Barcenilla AI, en representación del Grupo de Trabajo de Malos Tratos y Situaciones de riesgo de la Sociedad Española de Urgencias de Pediatría (SEUP). Registro multicéntrico de maltrato en urgencias: casuística de un año. *Emergencias.* 2014;26:468-71.